DCE 9000 VS. TL 9000: DEFINING THE CASE FOR A DATA CENTER INFRASTRUCTURE QUALITY STANDARD

DCE 9000 VS. TL 9000: DEFINING THE CASE FOR A DATA CENTER INFRASTRUCTURE QUALITY STANDARD

CONTRIBUTORS:

Govind Ramu, Google
Chad Kymal, Omnex
Ken Koffman, TIA
Mike Regan, TIA

INTRODUCTION

The exponential growth of digital services, artificial intelligence (AI), and data-intensive applications has elevated data centers to critical infrastructure status. Today, these facilities serve as the backbone of the global digital economy, national security, and societal functioning, directly supporting everything from cloud computing and financial systems to global communication, healthcare, and government operations. Due to this reliance, global data center capacity is on track to nearly double by 2030, a hyper-accelerated buildout that is vastly outpacing traditional construction cycles and requiring up to $3 trillion in total investment. This massive capital injection is essential to maintain economic competitiveness, technological leadership, and critical infrastructure resilience.

However, the increasing scale, complexity, and performance requirements of next-generation data centers — particularly those built for AI and high-performance computing workloads — introduce several operational hurdles. Operators are facing unprecedented challenges in power density, thermal management, system integration, operational reliability, and cybersecurity. Managing these complexities requires a structured, auditable, and continuously improving framework to ensure quality across the full lifecycle of data center infrastructure.

To meet this need, the industry is developing the new DCE 9000 Quality Management System (QMS) standard for data center infrastructure. This purpose-built framework is designed to handle a vastly different, more complex industrial problem than TL 9000, the legacy QMS standard that has served the information and communications technology (ICT) industry for more than 25 years. While they share several similar requirements, DCE 9000 and TL 9000 are distinct, non-redundant QMSs that deliver substantial value to their respective sectors.

This paper compares DCE 9000 with TL 9000, providing an overview of each standard, its intended applications, and its core differences. Specifically, it highlights how the two frameworks are designed to address distinct industry problems, risk models, supplier ecosystems, and performance expectations.

ICT VS. OT IN DATA CENTERS: TWO DISTINCT PARADIGMS

To understand why DCE 9000 and TL 9000 address fundamentally distinct quality issues, it is essential first to understand the foundational difference between information and communications technology (ICT) and operational technology (OT) within the data center ecosystem.

Historically, ICT has dominated the industry spotlight because it powers revenue-generating business and enterprise systems that support global communications and create, process, store, transmit, and secure data for customer-facing applications, AI platforms, and corporate networks. The switches, servers, user devices, and software that comprise ICT systems are also built for flexibility, scalability, and rapid change. Consequently, these systems undergo regular software updates, frequent hardware refresh cycles, and continuous adaptation to changing business needs and cyber threats. This fast-paced innovation drives high visibility.

Traditional ICT priorities focus on data confidentiality, integrity, and availability. Because a data breach, ransomware event, or unauthorized access can cause severe financial loss, regulatory penalties, and reputational damage, ICT systems are expected to be patched frequently, updated regularly, and replaced on predictable lifecycles. When an ICT system experiences downtime, it is inconvenient and expensive, but ultimately manageable.

In contrast, OT is the “silent background support” that keeps ICT systems running by controlling the physical environment. OT comprises the systems, hardware, and software used to monitor, control, and automate facilities and physical infrastructure. This includes power management and delivery, environmental and cooling systems, fire and life safety, physical security, and control systems like Data Center Infrastructure Management (DCIM), Programmable Logic Controllers (PLCs), and Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA).

Because OT systems form the foundation of physical operations and often remain in service

for decades, changes are introduced with extreme caution, and maintenance windows are carefully planned. Unlike ICT equipment, OT lifecycles are measured in decades rather than years, and many legacy OT devices were never designed with modern networking or cybersecurity in mind. Accordingly, traditional OT priorities center on safety, uptime, reliability, and deterministic real-time performance. An OT system outage doesn't just inconvenience users — it can halt service, damage expensive equipment, and create life safety hazards. Because many OT systems run 24/7, they cannot be patched or rebooted without major operational impact.

The quality of data center infrastructure is inseparable from OT—the critical systems that keep data center facilities operating and IT systems online are much more closely related to OT than ICT. Testing, commissioning, and long-term reliability of power distribution, backup power, switchgear interfaces, thermal controls, cooling systems, and environmental sensing require rigorous, industrial-system assurance rather than the rapid, flexible lifecycles of ICT.

The following table provides a simple comparison of ICT versus OT:

Characteristic	OT	ICT
Primary Purpose	Physical processes, machines, and infrastructure	Data, communications, and business systems
Primary Systems	Power management and distribution, environmental and cooling (HVAC), infrastructure and facility monitoring and control, safety and physical security	Networking, computing, storage, AI training/inference, cloud platforms, fire-walls/encryption
Typical Equipment	Power supplies, generators, chillers, air handlers, cooling distribution units, DCIM, PLCs, SCADA, sensors, fire detection, access control, surveil-lance, etc.	Switches, routers, servers, GPUs, storage arrays, load balancers, desktops/laptops, peripherals, etc.
Main Priority	Safety, availability, reliability	Data confidentiality, integrity, availability
Downtime Impact	Physical damage, equipment failure, production outage, safety risks, shutdowns	Productivity loss, data disruption, damaged reputation
Change Cycles	Infrequent updates, highly planned maintenance	Frequent updates and patching
Lifespan	10–30+ years common	3–7 years typical
Networks	Industrial networks, segmented control networks, building management	LANs/WANs, VPNs, cloud, internet
Cyber Risks	Physical damage, process disruption, unsafe operations, widespread failure	Data breaches, ransomware, fraud

BRIDGING THE GAP: THE IMPERATIVE FOR DCE 9000

Data centers are the critical backbone of the modern digital economy, supporting global communications, cloud computing, business applications, e-commerce, financial services, healthcare, manufacturing, government operations, and AI workloads. To fulfill this massive and growing operational scale, today's data centers face unprecedented power densities, thermal complexity, and intricate system interdependencies. The entire ecosystem now relies on a diverse, high-stakes supply chain where a single infrastructure variation, component failure, or installation error can trigger cascading failures across a facility. Consequently, quality risk is no longer confined to switches, servers, and software — it is increasingly concentrated in the physical infrastructure (e.g., electrical power and cooling systems, controls) and the complex supplier lifecycle of design, manufacturing, installation, testing, and commissioning.

The stakes are too high, industry must move to reduce defects by adopting Advanced Quality Planning to enable "shift-left" principles, all while being careful to not negatively impact innovation and speed to production.

While existing data center standards are important and complementary frameworks, they focus primarily on design, security, and availability rather than supplier accountability. ANSI/TIA-942 covers design and physical infrastructure requirements. BICSI 002 provides design and implementation guidance. The Uptime Institute focuses on topology and resilience classifications across design, construction, and operations. None of these standards provides a unified, certifiable QMS to hold manufacturers and delivery partners accountable for the critical electrical, mechanical, thermal, and control systems they supply.

DCE 9000 was launched as a new QMS standard for data center infrastructure to address this gap. Rather than serving as another facility design document, it is a dedicated, purpose-built framework that establishes rigorous quality, accountability, and performance metrics for data center infrastructure suppliers, regardless of the specific products and services they provide. It addresses supplier quality processes to improve confidence that delivered products and services will meet expectations.

Built on the latest ISO 9001 and aligned with the ISO Harmonized Structure (formerly known as the High-Level Structure or Annex SL), DCE 9000 incorporates best practices from mature sector standards, such as TL 9000 (ICT), AS 9100 (aerospace), IATF 16949 (automotive), and other QMS standards, with specific requirements for data center physical infrastructure systems added by contributing subject matter experts (SMEs) based on their real-world experiences and needs. Its initial scope targets essential mechanical, power, and cooling infrastructure systems, with plans to expand into structural, network connectivity, monitoring and control, environmental, and security systems.

DCE 9000 addresses quality requirements across the entire lifecycle of data center infrastructure, spanning design, manufacturing, installation, testing, commissioning, and supply chain management. It directly addresses the needs of:

- Owners and operators of data center facilities
- Design and engineering firms
- Construction and commissioning entities
- Equipment manufacturers and integrators
- Operations and maintenance service providers

By introducing a comprehensive, standardized set of requirements, measurements, and nomenclature, DCE 9000 eliminates the burden of creating proprietary quality metrics. It explicitly reduces redundant, overlapping supplier audits and expectations currently imposed by major operators, driving efficiency across the entire industry.

COMPLEMENTARY FRAMEWORKS: SEPARATING FACILITY DESIGN FROM SUPPLIER QUALITY

There is no single standard that meets all the needs of an industry. As mentioned, the data center industry is already supported by various standards that cover design, security, and availability, such as ANSI/TIA-942, BICSI 002, and the Uptime Institute's Data Center Tier Ratings. Unfortunately, a data center facility can be designed according to these existing standards while still suffering from supplier performance and quality problems, including manufacturing defects, process failures, failure to meet delivery agreements, poor installation execution, inadequate commissioning support, and poor customer relations.

DCE 9000 complements these existing design and construction standards and frameworks. To further illustrate this point, the following table contrasts DCE 9000 with ANSI/TIA-942, the industry's prominent design standard:

Aspect	DCE 9000	ANSI/TIA-942
Purpose	Establishes a QMS for consistent performance and lifecycle management of suppliers of critical physical infrastructure	Provides requirements and guidelines for the design, construction and operation of data centers
Focus Area	Supplier performance evaluation, reliability, benchmarking, and continuous improvement	Facility planning, cabling, network design, architectural considerations
Target Audience	Data center owners/operators, critical infrastructure suppliers, engineering, procurement and construction firms, service companies	Data center planners, architects, contractors, commissioning teams, owners, and operators
Application Stage	Applied throughout supplier engagement and lifecycle management	Primarily leveraged early during design and construction phases
Certification Program	Yes: Certification for supplier performance and adherence to QMS	Yes: Industry certification for compliance with design standards
Key Benefits	• Drives continuous improvement • Enhances cost efficiency and customer satisfaction	• Promotes multidisciplinary cooperation in design • Ensures world-class data center build quality
Scope of Coverage	Supplier quality, performance metrics, and benchmarking	Physical infrastructure design, construction and operation requirements
Supported Environments	Hyperscale, edge, enterprise, multi-tenant, micro, modular, satellite, submarine networks, carrier hotels, managed service data centers	Hyperscale, edge, enterprise, multi-tenant data centers
Primary Outcome	A consistent, reliable supply chain ensuring high-quality infrastructure components	A well-designed and constructed data center meeting global standards

TL 9000 VS. DCE 9000: CONTRASTING QUALITY FRAMEWORKS

TL 9000 is a mature QMS built on ISO 9001 with additional requirements specific to the ICT industry. Over the past 25+ years, it has evolved from its original telecommunications focus to cover a broad range of modern ICT use cases. Fundamental to TL 9000 is its standardized measurements and industry benchmarking program, which assesses supplier performance across various communication product categories. As an integrated quality framework with a mature governance model, established audit practices, and an industry performance language, TL 9000 has consistently demonstrated clear quality improvements, as evidenced by empirical field data. Deeply rooted in telecom and communications governance, TL 9000 is primarily associated with ICT equipment and software suppliers, as well as public communications service providers.

DCE 9000 fundamentally differs. Where TL 9000 has an ICT product and service focus, DCE 9000 has an OT focus. However, DCE 9000 is not an OT-only standard — the data center infrastructure environment encompasses industrial manufacturing, field installation, integrated testing, and facility operations. This requires a model broader than ICT product and service quality alone.

An important distinction between TL 9000 and DCE 9000 lies in their intended use cases. While both are built on ISO 9001, TL 9000 focuses on the quality of ICT products and services and the supplier relationships supporting them, keeping its organizing principles communications-centric. Conversely, DCE 9000 does not assess specific products. It targets data center physical infrastructure suppliers, assessing an organization's overall quality practices, independent of product, to drive continuous improvement. Its objective is to ensure infrastructure suppliers can deliver repeatable quality across physical infrastructure systems (e.g., electrical, mechanical, and thermal) that must be integrated into a highly interdependent facility. This distinction is paramount in contrasting the purpose of the two standards:

- **TL 9000 focuses on communications quality outcomes.** In this networking-oriented quality framework, failures are typically associated with product defects, software quality issues, service interruptions, interoperability problems, or delivery issues. While serious, these issues represent just one element of a data center.
- **DCE 9000 focuses on infrastructure lifecycle quality outcomes.** In data center infrastructure, the highest-consequence failures are often cyber-physical and system-level. Examples include power chain failures, thermal instability, poor integration between cooling and ICT loads, late discovery of manufacturing or installation defects, commissioning failures, and supplier nonconformities that only appear under integrated load or failover testing. As AI workloads drive higher rack densities and more dynamic thermal and electrical behavior, the tolerance for variation in infrastructure quality decreases sharply. DCE 9000 improves supply chain resilience enabling capacity growth at speed and scale while not impacting innovation.

These differences affect almost everything else: terminology, controls, audit criteria, measurement design, supplier categories, and the practical meaning of fitness for use. A standard designed for the data center environment must therefore emphasize lifecycle assurance, installation and commissioning readiness, cross-system integration quality, and supplier capability under rapid scale conditions. That is a different quality problem from the one TL 9000 was developed to solve.

The following table provides a high-level summary of the differences between TL 9000 and DCE 9000 across several operational dimensions:

Characteristic	DCE 9000	TL 9000
Target Sector	OT: physical infrastructure systems and associated products	ICT: data storage systems, networking, business systems, and associated products
Maturity	Early stage: under initial development	Mature: many releases over a 25-year operating lifetime
Primary Developers	Hyperscalers, data center operators, EPCs (engineering, procurement, and construction), and critical infrastructure suppliers	ICT equipment suppliers, software suppliers, and public service providers, with an emphasis on networking
Use Case	Quality management for data center infrastructure suppliers across the deployment and operating lifecycle	Quality management for ICT and communications products and services
Lifecycle Coverage	Complete lifecycle, including design, manufacturing, installation, testing, commissioning, operations, maintenance, and supply chain management	Complete lifecycle but with a focus on product/service delivery and associated quality performance.
Industry Benchmarking Program	Under consideration	Mandatory
Operational Environment	Mission-critical facilities with cyber-physical consequences	Communications and ICT operating environments
Failure Consequences	Facility downtime, availability impact, SLA violations, equipment damage, commissioning delays, reliability degradation, safety implications – all leading to potential significant revenue loss.	Service degradation, outages, defects, customer-impacting communications failures
Asset Life/Change Model	Long-lived infrastructure with controlled change windows and limited tolerance for disruption	Faster refresh, update, and patch cycles typical of ICT environments
Supplier Ecosystem	Infrastructure equipment manufacturers, integrators, EPCs, commissioning agents, construction participants, and operations and maintenance providers	Communications equipment suppliers, software providers, and communications service providers
Audit Focus	Organizational capability to deliver repeatable lifecycle quality across infrastructure design, manufacture, installation, testing, and commissioning	Quality management for specified ICT and communications products and services, supported by established measurements and benchmarking

STRATEGIC ANALYSIS: THE CASE AGAINST EXPANDING TL 9000

The argument for developing DCE 9000 is not that TL 9000 is ineffective as a QMS; quite the contrary. However, attempting to enhance TL 9000 to meet the objectives of DCE 9000 would create significant structural and operational challenges:

- **Domain identity and legitimacy:** Data center operators and infrastructure suppliers are far more likely to adopt a standard that is purpose-built specifically for their market, rather than one inherently tethered to the broader ICT industry. A standard requires dedicated legitimacy to effectively unify data center infrastructure suppliers. In fact, an initial review of TL 9000 deemed it inadequate for the core objectives of DCE 9000.
- **Architectural integrity:** Expanding TL 9000 to cover mechanical, power, and cooling infrastructure — along with testing, commissioning, and supply chain controls — would strip the framework of its ICT-specific focus. Furthermore, it would result in an unwieldy hybrid framework of two distinct standards under one umbrella, making it too costly to implement and difficult to govern.
- **Metrics mismatch:** Benchmarking metrics designed for ICT product categories do not map cleanly to infrastructure lifecycle quality and field execution measurements required for suppliers across large-scale data center deployments.
- **Audit scope and competence:** A purpose-built data center infrastructure standard allows for clear, unambiguous definitions of audit scope, evidence, and competence expectations, which would be lost in a heavily retrofitted framework for the ICT sector.

A major concern is that forcing ICT and OT into a single framework could significantly reduce adoption on both sides. TL 9000 requirements for ICT and communications environments are not necessarily relevant to data center infrastructure providers. Expanding TL 9000 to encompass data center infrastructure could therefore impose implementation burdens on prospective data center suppliers without adding corresponding value, potentially discouraging adoption. The inverse is also true: if data-center-specific requirements were forced into TL 9000, ICT and communications suppliers might view those additions as irrelevant to their needs and reconsider adoption.

Ultimately, a purpose-built DCE 9000 framework avoids cross-domain dilution by allowing each standard to remain aligned to the unique requirements, terminology, and value drivers of its intended industry. This approach is common practice. Many sectors have successfully developed purpose-built standards tailored to their unique needs by leveraging ISO 9001 as a foundation, as shown in the following table:

Industry Vertical	Primary QMS
Aerospace & Defense	AS 9100
Automotive	IATF 16949
Healthcare	ISO 7101
Information & Communications Technology	TL 9000
Medical Devices	ISO 13485
Petroleum & Natural Gas industries	ISO 29001
Railway	ISO 22163

FINAL ASSESSMENT: DRIVING VALUE ACROSS THE DATA CENTER INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPLY CHAIN

TL 9000 remains a highly valuable, mature, and widely adopted global standard for the ICT industry, with participating organizations having realized measurable quality improvements through its adoption.

DCE 9000 will build on proven QMS practices, including key elements of TL 9000 and other industry-sector QMS standards. It will then extend them with requirements that directly address the operational realities and failure modes experienced by today's data center operators and infrastructure suppliers.

The DCE 9000 standard and program will deliver value across the data center ecosystem:

Hyperscalers and DC Operators:

- More consistent and transparent supplier-quality expectations
- Lower cost and disruption from duplicative supplier assessments
- Stronger comparability of performance across suppliers
- Greater predictability in deployment outcomes and schedules

Key Infrastructure Suppliers:

- A common language for demonstrating quality maturity and capability
- Reduced burden from conflicting, customer-specific quality overlays and one-off audits
- Clearer benchmarks that support sustained continuous improvement

Broader AI DC Ecosystem:

- Improved supply-chain resilience for critical infrastructure components
- Stronger lifecycle quality discipline from design through commissioning and operations handoff
- Greater confidence that rapid industry scale-up does not erode infrastructure quality

DCE 9000 does not replace or diminish TL 9000. The data center industry has simply reached incredible scale and criticality that warrants its own QMS, much like the telecom, aerospace, and automotive industries created sector-specific systems when ISO 9001 alone could not fully address domain-specific risks.

TO JOIN THE DATA CENTER EXCELLENCE WORKGROUP OR LEARN MORE ABOUT TIA STANDARDS

CONTACT

membership@tiaonline.org

DCEWP062426